#### MARICOPA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MINUTE BOOK

FORMAL SESSION December 20, 2006

The Board of Directors of the Flood Control District of Maricopa County, Arizona, convened in Formal Session at 9:00 a.m., December 20, 2006, in the Board of Supervisors' Auditorium, 205 W. Jefferson, Phoenix, Arizona, with the following members present: Don Stapley, Chairman, District 2; Fulton Brock, Vice Chairman, District 1; Andrew Kunasek, District 3, Max W. Wilson, District 4, and Mary Rose Wilcox, District 5. Also present: Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board; Shirley Million, Minutes Coordinator; David Smith, County Manager; Victoria Mangiapane, Deputy County Attorney. Votes of the Members will be recorded as follows: aye-nay-absent-abstain

#### PERSONNEL AGENDA

Motion was made by Director Wilcox, seconded by Director Brock, and unanimously carried (5-0) to approve the Flood Control District Personnel Agenda (Exhibit C). Exhibit C will be found at the end of this set of minutes.

### **EASEMENTS AND RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION DOCUMENTS**

Motion was made by Director Wilcox, seconded by Director Brock, and unanimously carried (5-0) to approve easements and right-of-way acquisition documents, appraisal and relocation assistance services contracts under \$5,000 per Resolution FCD 87-12; Escrow Instructions per Resolution FCD 87-13; Payment of Tax Notices per Resolution FCD 97-07; License Procedures and Fee Schedules per Resolution FCD2002R002; and disposal of easements, excess real property and fixtures under \$250,000 documents per FCD 1999R016 for Flood Control purposes. (ADM1910)

### Wickenburg Downtown Flooding Hazard Mitigation (Per Resolution FCD 2005R008)

Item FA027.013-1, Relocation Assistance Entitlements for Frank and Shirley Dickinson in the amount of \$5,000.00.

Item FA027.022; Permanent Channel Easement and Agreement for Flood Control Purposes from Raymond E. Wrublik and Diane E. Juillard to the Flood Control District of Maricopa County for the sum of \$32,600.00.

Item FA027.022, Purchase Agreement and Escrow Instructions.

### HEARING FOR THE FLOODPLAIN REGULATIONS FEE SCHEDULE

Chairman Stapley called for a public hearing to solicit comments and consider adoption of the proposed "Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County" including the fee schedule. In accordance with the Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.), Section 48-3603 and Section 48-3609, each County Flood Control District Board of Directors are directed to adopt and enforce floodplain regulations consistent with criteria adopted by the Director of the Arizona Department of Water Resources. The Arizona Revised Statutes also directs the Flood Control District of Maricopa County (District) to provide floodplain management for 12 communities and all unincorporated areas within Maricopa County. (C6906028700)

Rusty Bowers, Executive Director of Arizona Rock Products Association, said he and ARPA stand in favor of this action and appreciate that Mr. Tim Philips and his staff made the regulations available to them prior to any action being taken to preview. He added that their previously expressed concerns have been resolved by adding language that gives guidelines to the regulations. He said that ARPA realizes the District's fees have not been raised since 1987, which makes their "somewhat aggressive" increase understandable.

FORMAL SESSION December 20, 2006

50

Motion was made by Director Kunasek, seconded by Director Wilcox, and unanimously carried (5-0) to adopt the proposed "Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County" including the fee schedule.

# PROPOSED FEE SCHEDULE FLOODPLAIN REGULATION

### for MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA July 2006-June 2007

The following administrative fees shall be charged for the processing of Appeals, Floodplain Use Permits, Floodplain Variances, plans review and performance bonds with no provision for refund:

**FLOODPLAIN USE PERMITS** 

Floodplain Delineation Review

50% of value at risk, whichever is higher.

#### Single Family Residence, Mobile/Manufactured Buildings, Commercial/Industrial Non- engineered ......\$ 145 Engineered ......\$ 200 Site inspection (when required) ......\$ 50 Category 1 Amendment (When a site inspection is required) ......\$ 50 Category 2: Permits, which require technical hydrologic or engineering review. Residential Subdivisions, Commercial including strips and centers, Industrial including parks.....\$ 400 Category 2 Amendment .....\$ Sand and Gravel Operations Initial Permit Application ......\$4,000 Compliance Inspection (semi-annual or violation follow-up)......\$ 100 Engineering Review ......\$ 300 Non-compliance review.....\$ 500 Major Amendment.....\$2,300 **OTHER FEES** Floodplain Clearance ......\$ Sand and Gravel Renewal (Five Year) ......\$2,000 Appeals/Variances (FCAB or Board of Directors) Residential/Commercial/Industrial (posting required) ......\$

Continuance of Hearing — Applicant's Request ......\$

If new posting is required ......\$

CLOMR/LOMR (MT I) \$825
CLOMR/LOMR (MT2) \$2,800
CLOMR/LOMR Alluvial Fan \$2,365
Regulation (per copy) \$5
Performance Bond: 100% cost of requited improvement or cost to abate violation, or

Category 1: Permits which require a minimum of technical review.

PROPOSED FEE SCHEDULE FLOODPLAIN REGULATION for MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA July 2007-June 2008

## FORMAL SESSION December 20, 2006

The following administrative fees shall be charged for the processing of Appeals, Floodplain Use Permits, Floodplain Variances, plans review and performance bonds with no provision for refund:

# FLOODPLAIN USE PERMITS

Category 1: Permits which require a minimum of technical review.				
Single Family Residence, Mobile/Manufactured Buildings, Commercial/Industrial				
Non- engineered				
Engineered			420	
Site inspection (when required)	\$		105	
Category 1 Amendment (When a site inspection is required)	\$		130	
Category 2: Permits, which require technical hydrologic or engineering review.				
Residential Subdivisions, Commercial including strips and centers, Industrial				
including parks		8	835	
Category 2 Amendment	\$	8	825	
Sand and Gravel Operations				
Initial Permit Application			400	
Compliance Inspection (semi-annual or violation follow-up)	\$	2	265	
Engineering Review	\$	(	660	
Non-compliance review	\$	1,0	050	
Major Amendment				
Minor Amendment	\$	2,4	450	
OTHER FEES				
Floodplain Clearance				
Sand and Gravel Renewal (Five Year)	\$	4,2	200	
Appeals/Variances (FCAB or Board of Directors)				
Residential/Commercial/Industrial (posting required)				
Continuance of Hearing — Applicant's Request			50	
If new posting is required	\$		110	
Floodplain Delineation Review				
CLOMR/LOMR (MT I)				
CLOMR/LOMR (MT2)				
CLOMR/LOMR Alluvial Fan				
Regulation (per copy)			5	
Performance Bond: 100% cost of requited improvement or cost to abate violation	١,	or		
50% of value at risk, whichever is higher.				

# PROPOSED FEE SCHEDULE FLOODPLAIN REGULATION

for

# MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA July 2008 - revised annually per NCPI

The following administrative fees shall be charged for the processing of Appeals, Floodplain Use Permits, Floodplain Variances, plans review and performance bonds with no provision for refund:

### **FLOODPLAIN USE PERMITS**

Category 1: Permits which require a minimum of technical review	
Single Family Residence, Mobile/Manufactured Buildings, Commercial/Industrial	
Non- engineered	\$ 465
Engineered	\$ 635
Site inspection (when required)	\$ 160
Category 1 Amendment (When a site inspection is required)	
Category 2: Permits, which require technical hydrologic or engineering review.	

### MARICOPA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS MINUTE BOOK

# FORMAL SESSION December 20, 2006

Residential Subdivisions, Commercial including strips and centers, Industrial						
including parks						
Category 2 Amendment	\$ 8	50				
Sand and Gravel Operations						
Initial Permit Application						
Compliance Inspection (semi-annual or violation follow-up)						
Engineering Review						
Non-compliance review	\$ 16	00				
Major Amendment	\$ 74	40				
Minor Amendment	\$ 3,7	'00				
OTHER FEES						
Floodplain Clearance	\$	50				
Sand and Gravel Renewal (Five Year)	\$ 6,4	100				
Appeals/Variances (FCAB or Board of Directors)						
Residential/Commercial/Industrial (posting required)						
Continuance of Hearing — Applicant's Request						
If new posting is required	\$ 1	70				
Floodplain Delineation Review						
CLOMR/LOMR (MT I)	\$ \$	80				
CLOMR/LOMR (MT2)	\$ 3,0	)00				
CLOMR/LOMR Alluvial Fan	\$ 6,8	300				
Regulation (per copy)		5				
Performance Bond: 100% cost of requited improvement or cost to abate violation,	, or					
50% of value at risk, whichever is higher.						
Food will be adjusted an a figural year nor the National Consumer Drice Index						

Fees will be adjusted on a fiscal year per the National Consumer Price Index.

## VA SHYL'AY AKIMEL SALT RIVER ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECT

Motion was made by Director Wilcox, seconded by Director Brock, and unanimously carried (5-0) to approve Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) FCD2005A009 between the Flood Control District of Maricopa County and the City of Mesa to define the district's role and responsibilities for participation in the pre-construction engineering design for the Va Shyl'ay Akimel Salt River Ecosystem Restoration Project. The IGA also authorizes the district to reimburse the city for a portion of the local cost share of the design in the amount of \$100,000. (C6907028200) (ADM1913)

### **MEETING ADJOURNED**

				, the meet	

	Don Stapley, Chairman of the Board
ATTEST:	
Fran McCarroll, Clerk of the Board	